

Single number evaluation metric

To choose a classifier, a well-defined development set and an evaluation metric speed up the iteration process.

Example : Cat vs Non- cat

$y = 1$, cat image detected

		Actual class y	
		1	0
Predict class \hat{y}	1	True positive	False positive
	0	False negative	True negative

Precision

Of all the images we predicted $y=1$, what fraction of it have cats?

$$\text{Precision (\%)} = \frac{\text{True positive}}{\text{Number of predicted positive}} \times 100 = \frac{\text{True positive}}{(\text{True positive} + \text{False positive})} \times 100$$

Recall

Of all the images that actually have cats, what fraction of it did we correctly identifying have cats?

$$\text{Recall (\%)} = \frac{\text{True positive}}{\text{Number of predicted actually positive}} \times 100 = \frac{\text{True positive}}{(\text{True positive} + \text{False negative})} \times 100$$

Let's compare 2 classifiers A and B used to evaluate if there are cat images:

Classifier	Precision (p)	Recall (r)
A	95%	90%
B	98%	85%

In this case the evaluation metrics are precision and recall.

For classifier A, there is a 95% chance that there is a cat in the image and a 90% chance that it has correctly detected a cat. Whereas for classifier B there is a 98% chance that there is a cat in the image and a 85% chance that it has correctly detected a cat.

The problem with using precision/recall as the evaluation metric is that you are not sure which one is better since in this case, both of them have a good precision et recall. F1-score, a harmonic mean, combine both precision and recall.

$$\text{F1-Score} = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r}}$$

Classifier	Precision (p)	Recall (r)	F1-Score
A	95%	90%	92.4 %
B	98%	85%	91.0%

Classifier A is a better choice. F1-Score is not the only evaluation metric that can be use, the average, for example, could also be an indicator of which classifier to use.